

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 SHAWNA PETERS,)
11 Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:17-cv-02706-JCM-NJK
12 v.) **ORDER**
13 LARRY SAMPSON, et al.,) (Docket No. 13)
14 Defendant(s).)
15 _____)

16 Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to extend the deadlines in the scheduling order
17 by 120 days. Docket No. 13. Plaintiff filed a response in opposition, and Defendants filed a reply.
18 Docket Nos. 15, 17. The motion is properly decided without a hearing. *See* Local Rule 78-1. Although
19 the circumstances leave much to be desired, good cause exists for a 60-day extension to the deadlines
20 that had not expired prior to the filing of the motion.¹ Accordingly, the motion is **GRANTED** in part
21 and **DENIED** in part, and deadlines are **SET** as follows:

- 22 • Initial Experts: April 23, 2018;
23 • Interim status report: April 23, 2018;
24 • Rebuttal experts: May 23, 2018;
25 • Discovery cutoff: June 22, 2018;

26 _____
27 ¹ The deadline to amend the pleadings expired a month before the motion was filed and, therefore,
28 the request to reopen that deadline must be supported by both good cause and excusable neglect. *See, e.g.*,
Local Rule 26-4; Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B). Insufficient justification exists to reopen that deadline.

- Dispositive motions: July 23, 2018;
- Joint proposed pretrial order: August 22, 2018, or 30 days after dispositive motions are resolved.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 9, 2018



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

² The Court does not herein opine on the pending motion to compel an independent medical examination. Docket No. 14.